PAGES 1 - 55

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## BEFORE THE HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF, ) NO. CR-16-0515 YGR VS. ) FRIDAY, MAY 25, 2018 ) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA KENNETH BRESLIN, DEFENDANT. ) SENTENCING

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF: ALEX G. TSE, ESQUIRE

> ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

BY: CHRISTINA M. MCCALL,

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

FOR DEFENDANT: MARK S. GOLDROSEN, ESQUIRE

255 KANSAS STREET, SUITE 340

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103

ALSO PRESENT: KAREN L. MAR, U.S. PROBATION OFFICE

REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

## FRIDAY, MAY 25, 2018

2:16 P.M.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 THE CLERK: WE WILL DO THE KENNETH BRESLIN MATTER.

CALLING CRIMINAL ACTION 16-515 UNITED STATES VERSUS KENNETH BRESLIN.

PROCEEDINGS

COUNSEL, PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES.

MS. MCCALL: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. CHRISTINA MCCALL FOR THE UNITED STATES.

MR. GOLDROSEN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. MARK GOLDROSEN FOR KENNETH BRESLIN. HE IS PRESENT IN CUSTODY.

PROBATION OFFICER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. KAREN MAR WITH PROBATION.

THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYONE.

MR. BRESLIN, WE ARE HERE TODAY ON OUR SCHEDULE FOR YOUR SENTENCING. I CONTINUED THIS BY A WEEK BECAUSE THERE WAS SO MUCH MATERIAL THAT CAME IN THAT I NEEDED ADDITIONAL TIME TO REVIEW IT AND CONSIDER IT. LET'S GO THROUGH THAT FIRST.

IN PREPARATION FOR THESE PROCEEDINGS, I'VE DONE THE FOLLOWING: I'VE REVIEWED THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT WHICH WAS PREPARED ON APRIL 24TH, 2018, DISCLOSED TO THE PARTIES ON MAY 7TH. THAT REPORT INCLUDED AN OBJECTION BY THE DEFENDANT WHICH I WILL RESOLVE TODAY. IT ALSO INCLUDED A RECOMMENDATION.

IN ADDITION, I REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE DEFENSE SENTENCING MEMORANDUM, WHICH INCLUDED NUMEROUS LETTERS FROM

AND I HAVE NOW READ THIS LETTER THAT COUNSEL HAS JUST

25

HANDED UP.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DID YOU HAVE A COPY FOR THE U.S. ATTORNEY?

MR. GOLDROSEN: I'VE ALREADY GIVEN IT TO THEM, YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANY REASON WHY WE SHOULD NOT NOW PROCEED, MR. GOLDROSEN?

MR. GOLDROSEN: NO.

THE COURT: LET'S BEGIN WITH THE GUIDELINE CALCULATIONS.

AS I TOLD YOU, MR. BRESLIN, THIS IS ALWAYS THE FIRST PLACE THAT THE COURT MUST START AS PART OF THE ANALYSIS IN TERMS OF WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE STARTING PLACE FOR A SENTENCE IN A CASE SUCH AS THIS.

THERE SEEMS TO BE ONE DISAGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE CALCULATIONS, AND I WILL TAKE ARGUMENT ON THAT, BUT THE PORTION THAT THERE IS NOT A DISAGREEMENT ON APPEARS TO BE THE FOLLOWING: WITH RESPECT TO COUNTS ONE AND TWO, WHICH ARE POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, UNDER THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES SECTION 2G2.2, SUBSECTION A, SUBSECTION 1, WE BEGIN WITH A BASE OFFENSE LEVEL OF 18.

UNDER THE GUIDELINES, BECAUSE THE MATERIAL INVOLVES MINORS WHO HAVE NOT ATTAINED THE AGE OF 12 YEARS, THAT IS, IS MATERIAL RELATED TO TODDLERS TO THOSE APPROXIMATELY 12 YEARS OF AGE, THERE ARE TWO POINTS ADDED.

BECAUSE THE MATERIAL INVOLVES SADISTIC AND MASOCHISTIC CONDUCT, THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL FOUR LEVELS.

BECAUSE THE COMPUTER WAS USED, THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL TWO LEVELS.

AND THERE IS AN ADDITIONAL FIVE LEVELS BECAUSE THE OFFENSE INCLUDED OVER 600 OR MORE IMAGES.

I WILL NOTE THAT UNDER SUBSECTION (B) (7) (D), THAT IS THE CAP. YOU CANNOT GO HIGHER THAN FIVE LEVELS. AND HERE WE ARE DEALING WITH HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF IMAGES AND VIDEOS, SO WE ARE WELL ABOVE THE CAP WHICH RELATES TO 600.

EVERYBODY AGREEABLE SO FAR?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCCALL: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. GOLDROSEN: YES.

THE COURT: AND THE COURT CONCURS WITH RESPECT TO THOSE PARTICULAR GUIDELINES CALCULATIONS. ALL RIGHT.

THE OBJECTION RELATES TO THE ADDITION OF THE ADJUSTMENT FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. WITH RESPECT TO THIS, THE GOVERNMENT IS SUGGESTING THAT THERE SHOULD BE AN INCREASE OF THREE LEVELS BECAUSE MR. BRESLIN, WHILE HE WAS ON PRETRIAL RELEASE, DID SECURE ADDITIONAL CHILD PORNOGRAPHY ON A DIFFERENT DEVICE, AND THE DEFENSE'S PERSPECTIVE IS THAT THAT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED.

NO, I TAKE THAT BACK. YOU AGREE WITH THE THREE THERE.

MR. GOLDROSEN: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. I THINK THE THREE, AND THERE'S A LITTLE BIT OF CONFUSION BECAUSE IN THE -- AS I REREAD THE PRESENTENCE REPORT, IN PARAGRAPH 69 IT'S LISTED AS ADJUSTMENT FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, BUT IN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FACT 3C1.3 IS AN ADJUSTMENT FOR COMMITTING A CRIME WHILE OUT ON PRETRIAL RELEASE.

WE DO NOT OBJECT TO THAT BECAUSE THAT IS CORRECT. OUR CONCERN IS THAT HE SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY DESPITE THAT ENHANCEMENT.

THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. SO I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. EXCUSE ME.

SO THERE ISN'T AN OBJECTION TO THAT THREE LEVEL, AND THE GOVERNMENT CONCURS, AS DOES THE COURT. CORRECT, MS. MCCALL?

MS. MCCALL: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO WHAT WE ARE DEALING WITH THEN, BEFORE I GET TO COUNT THREE, IS THE THREE-LEVEL DECREASE FOR ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY.

NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THAT PARTICULAR DECREASE, THAT COMES IN TWO PARTS. THAT IS, UNDER THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES, THERE IS A SINGLE -- THERE'S A ONE AND A TWO. AND WHAT I COULDN'T TELL FROM YOUR MEMO GIVEN THAT THE SECTION, IN PART, REQUIRES A MOTION OF THE GOVERNMENT, HOW IT IS, EVEN UNDER YOUR THEORY, I COULD ADJUST DOWNWARD BY THE ENTIRE THREE.

MR. GOLDROSEN: I THINK YOU'RE CORRECT.

I THINK THAT YOU CAN ONLY ADJUST DOWNWARD BY TWO IN THE ABSENCE OF A MOTION FROM THE GOVERNMENT. I WAS NOT SPECIFIC IN MY MEMO. MAYBE I WAS HOPING THAT MAYBE AFTER THE GOVERNMENT READ THE MEMO THEY MIGHT AGREE TO MAKE THAT MOTION, BUT I DO AGREE WITH THE COURT, IT'S ONLY TWO THAT IS AT ISSUE

UNLESS THE GOVERNMENT CHANGES ITS MIND.

2 THE COURT: OKAY.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO WITH RESPECT TO SENTENCING GUIDELINE SECTION 3E1.1(B), THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT MAKE A MOTION FOR THE LEVEL ONE DECREASE, AND SO THAT WILL NOT BE APPLIED.

SO THE QUESTION IS, UNDER 3E1.1(A) WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE A TWO-LEVEL DECREASE.

NOW HERE'S WHAT I SEE THE ISSUE IS. CLEARLY THERE IS EVIDENCE IN THE RECORD THAT MR. BRESLIN HAS NOT ACCEPTED RESPONSIBILITY FOR THESE CRIMES. AND I CAN GO THROUGH THOSE AND OUTLINE THEM, BUT I SUSPECT YOU KNOW WHAT EVIDENCE I'M TALKING ABOUT.

THERE IS ALSO EVIDENCE IN THE RECORD THAT HE DID NOT MAKE THE GOVERNMENT GO TO TRIAL. HE DID NOT -- AND HE HAS VOLUNTARILY PUT A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS INTO AN ACCOUNT FOR PAYMENT TO THE VICTIMS.

NOW, THE GUIDELINES DO NOT ALLOW ME TO GIVE HIM ONE POINT; THAT IS, IT'S EITHER TWO OR NOTHING. I CAN DEAL WITH THIS IN THE CONTEXT OF A VARIANCE, BUT THERE IS EVIDENCE ON BOTH SIDES.

COMMENTS, MS. MCCALL.

MS. MCCALL: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS EVIDENCE ON BOTH SIDES, AS THE COURT HAS SUMMARIZED. WE FOCUSED, OF COURSE, ON THE FACT THAT IT'S THE DEFENDANT'S BURDEN TO SHOW HE HAS ACCEPTED RESPONSIBILITY, AND MANY OF HIS COMMENTS AFTER BEING

REMANDED TO CUSTODY FOR A BOND VIOLATION WHILE WE WERE FIGURING OUT THE ADDITIONAL CRIME THAT HE HAD COMMITTED, INDICATE THAT HE ACTUALLY DID NOT ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HE DID ENTER A GUILTY PLEA TO ALL THREE CHARGES AGAINST HIM, BUT HIS STATEMENTS SUCH AS, "FROM MY POINT OF VIEW, IT'S A COMPLETELY VICTIMLESS CRIME". HIS LETTERS THAT HE WAS MAILING OUT TO FORMER CLIENTS GROSSLY MISCHARACTERIZING THE EVIDENCE AGAINST HIM, AND IN CLAIMING HE WAS BASICALLY HELPING A CLIENT AND IS JUST TECHNICALLY GUILTY OF THIS OFFENSE, AND THEN HIS REPEATED EXPRESSED INTENT THAT HIS FAMILY MEMBERS LOSE, CONVENIENTLY, EVIDENCE AGAINST HIM IN THE FORM OF A THUMB DRIVE, IN HIS HIDING OF A LAPTOP COMPUTER THAT HE WASN'T ALLOWED TO USE, WITH ALL THE BOND VIOLATIONS THAT WE DEALT WITH, IT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION THAT HE HASN'T MET HIS BURDEN OF SHOWING ACCEPTANCE.

MR. GOLDROSEN: YOUR HONOR, I START FROM COMMENT... THE COMMENTARY FOR 3E1.1, APPLICATION NOTE 3. AND I THINK THIS SETS FORTH THE -- A GUIDE FOR THE COURT'S ANALYSIS.

THERE IT TELLS US THAT ENTRY OF A GUILTY PLEA PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL COMBINED WITH TRUTHFULLY ADMITTING THE CONDUCT COMPRISING THE OFFENSE OF CONVICTION WILL CONSTITUTE SIGNIFICANT, AND THAT'S THE WORD USED IN THE COMMENTARY, SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE OF ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY.

AND I THINK MR. BRESLIN HAS DONE THAT. HE DID ENTER A PLEA OF GUILTY. HE DID ADMIT TRUTHFULLY IN COURT AT THE TIME

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OF HIS CHANGE OF PLEA TO HAVING COMMITTED THESE OFFENSES. HE HAS NOT, NEITHER IN HIS PROBATION INTERVIEW NOR IN COURT, EVER DENIED THE TRUTH OF THE CHARGES AGAINST HIM. THIS CONSTITUTES SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE OUTWEIGHED BY CONDUCT OF THE DEFENDANT THAT IS INCONSISTENT.

SO THE QUESTION IN MY MIND THE COURT HAS TO ADDRESS IS, GIVEN THE SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE, IS IT OUTWEIGHED BY HIS CONDUCT.

NOW, THE LETTER THAT THE GOVERNMENT REFERS TO IS A LETTER THAT WAS WRITTEN -- THE DATE ON THAT IS SEPTEMBER OF 2016. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE IN SEPTEMBER, AND THE GOVERNMENT CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT THAT'S A SEPTEMBER DATE. THAT'S BEFORE THERE WERE FEDERAL CHARGES IN THIS CASE. THAT WAS A TIME THERE WERE STATE COURT CHARGES. SO THAT IS NOT A LETTER THAT IS WRITTEN LONG IN THE PROCESS, NOT A LETTER WRITTEN SUBSEQUENT TO HIS HAVING PLEAD GUILTY AND ADMITTED THE TRUTH OF THE CONDUCT.

WHAT YOU HAVE, AND I THINK THE COURT MENTIONED HIS POSTING OF A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN THE RESTITUTION FUND, HE ALSO WAS ATTENDING SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT CLASSES DURING THE TIME THAT HE WAS OUT OF CUSTODY.

I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO MINIMIZE THAT MR. BRESLIN REOFFENDED, BUT HE HAD A SERIOUS PROBLEM. AND I THINK HE WAS MAKING AN EFFORT AND HE JUST... HE COULDN'T DEAL WITH IT. HE COULD NOT KEEP HIMSELF FROM LOOKING AGAIN.

FOR THE COURT GIVEN THE CONVERSATIONS THAT HE WAS HAVING ON

THE TELEPHONE WHEN HE WASN'T IN FRONT OF A JUDGE SEEM TO BE A

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TERMINATION OR WITHDRAWAL FROM CRIMINAL CONDUCT OR ASSOCIATIONS". WELL, THAT DOESN'T APPLY BECAUSE HE TURNED AROUND AND DID THE EXACT SAME THING AGAIN. SO THAT WOULD WEIGH NOT IN FAVOR OF SHOWING ACCEPTANCE. "VOLUNTARY PAYMENT OF RESTITUTION". THAT DOES APPLY. "VOLUNTARY SURRENDER TO THE AUTHORITIES PROMPTLY AFTER COMMISSION OF THE OFFENSE". THAT DOESN'T APPLY. HE HID THE COMPUTER. HE HID EVERYTHING. AND THEN "VOLUNTARY ASSISTANCE TO THE AUTHORITIES IN THE RECOVERY OF THE FRUITS AND INSTRUMENTALITIES OF THE OFFENSE" DOESN'T APPLY. "VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION FROM THE OFFICE OR POSITION". THAT'S IRRELEVANT HERE. "POST OFFENSE REHABILITATION EFFORTS". AGAIN, HE REOFFENDED. DEFENSE LAWYERS, BY THE WAY, ALWAYS COME IN HERE AND SAY TO ME, ALWAYS, JUDGE, LOOK AT WHAT HE HAS DONE SINCE THE ORIGINAL INDICTMENT. SEE, HE'S DONE EVERYTHING GREAT. HE'S REALLY GOING TO REHABILITATE. THIS IS THE EXACT OPPOSITE. MR. GOLDROSEN: WELL, THE COURT DIDN'T MENTION A,

WHICH I THINK, DESPITE THE COURT'S VIEW OF THE SITUATION, A IS TRUTHFULLY ADMITTING THE CONDUCT, WHICH HE DID DO. AND THE COMMENTARY SAYS THAT THIS IS, BY ITSELF, SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE. SO THIS --

THE COURT: WELL, IT'S A CONSIDERATION.

MR. GOLDROSEN: I UNDERSTAND THAT. 1 2 BUT IF THAT, BY ITSELF, IS SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE, THAT 3 MEANS THERE NEEDS TO BE A LOT OF EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY TO OVERCOME THAT. AND ALTHOUGH --4 5 THE COURT: BUT WHOSE BURDEN IS IT? AND ISN'T THERE -- I MEAN, IN ORDER FOR ME TO BUY YOUR ARGUMENT, I WOULD 6 7 HAVE TO IGNORE EVERYTHING ELSE THAT HE DID. 8 MR. GOLDROSEN: WELL, I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE TO 9 IGNORE IT, I THINK YOU JUST HAVE TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO 10 WHETHER THAT OUTWEIGHS THE SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE FROM THE 11 TRUTHFUL ADMISSION. AND SO THERE MUST BE SUBSTANTIAL 12 EVIDENCE, OR MORE THAN SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE CONTRARY TO THAT 13 POSITION FOR YOU TO DECLINE THE TWO POINTS. 14 NOW, POST-OFFENSE REHABILITATIVE EFFORTS, HE TRIED. HE 15 WENT DURING THE TIME THAT HE WAS OUT, HE WAS GOING EVERY WEEK 16 TO SEX OFFENDER THERAPY. SO HE DID DO THAT. IT WASN'T 17 SUCCESSFUL, I TELL -- I AGREE, BUT IT WASN'T BECAUSE HE WASN'T 18 TRYING. 19 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT. 20 ANYTHING ELSE? 21 MS. MCCALL: SUBMITTED, YOUR HONOR. 22 MR. GOLDROSEN: SUBMITTED. 23 THE COURT: WITH RESPECT TO COUNT THREE, THAT'S FOR CRIMINAL CONTEMPT, AND EVERYONE AGREES THAT THAT SHOULD BE A 24 25 14. CORRECT?

MS. MCCALL: YES, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 MR. GOLDROSEN: YES. 3 THE COURT: SO WHEN LOOKING AT THE TWO GROUPS, THE FIRST GROUP WILL CONTROL. 4 5 I DON'T THINK THAT THERE IS A CLEAR-CUT ANSWER, MR. GOLDROSEN, ON WHETHER OR NOT TO APPLY THE TWO-LEVEL 6 7 ACCEPTANCE. SO FOR PURPOSES OF THE CALCULATION, I THINK THE 8 WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE IS AGAINST YOUR CLIENT ON THIS 9 PARTICULAR TOPIC, AND I AM NOT GOING TO APPLY THEM. 10 I AM, THOUGH, BECAUSE THIS IS JUST A STARTING POINT, I AM GOING TO TAKE THOSE FACTS INTO CONSIDERATION IN TERMS OF 11 12 ADJUSTING THE VIEW OF WHAT AN APPROPRIATE SENTENCE WOULD BE. 13 SO, FOR PURPOSES OF THE RECORD, I WILL APPLY A 34, 14 CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY I, WHICH MEANS THAT WE ARE IN A 15 RANGE OF 151 TO 188 MONTHS. 16 I DO INTEND TO VARY DOWNWARD, IN PART, FOR THE ARGUMENTS 17 THAT YOU MADE IN TERMS OF ACCEPTANCE AND SOME OTHER REASONS, 18 WHICH I WILL GET TO AFTER WE HEAR -- AFTER I HEAR ARGUMENT. 19 BUT AS I SAID, THE CODE DOES NOT ALLOW ME TO SPLIT THE 20 TWO. I WOULD PERHAPS GIVE HIM ONE GIVEN THE OVERWHELMING 21 EVIDENCE ON THE OTHER SIDE, BUT THAT'S NOT ALLOWED. SO THAT'S 22 WHERE WE ARE. 23 OKAY. I WILL HEAR ARGUMENT. LET ME SAY A FEW THINGS SO 24 THAT YOUR ARGUMENT ON BOTH SIDES IS AS MEANINGFUL AS IT CAN 25 BE.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FIRST OF ALL, FOR THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, IT IS WELL DOCUMENTED, AND I THINK MR. GOLDROSEN DID A GOOD JOB IN HIS BRIEFS, INDICATING THAT THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES IN CHILD PORNOGRAPHY CASES TEND TO BE OVERSTATED. IT IS WELL DOCUMENTED IN THIS DISTRICT AND ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

AND SO YOU SHOULD ADDRESS THAT BECAUSE YOU ARE ASKING FOR A GUIDELINE SENTENCE. AND I SUSPECT, MS. MCCALL, I WILL NOT GIVE A GUIDELINE SENTENCE IN THIS CASE.

FOR THE DEFENDANT, MR. GOLDROSEN, AND I SHOULD SAY MR. BRESLIN, PROBATION WAS NEVER AN OPTION FOR YOU. NEVER. EVEN BEFORE THE SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT, IT WAS NEVER AN OPTION. SO TO THE EXTENT YOU HAD A HOPE OR A PRAYER THAT THAT WAS, IT WASN'T.

I FIND THIS CASE, ASIDE FROM THE FACT THAT CHILD PORNOGRAPHY CASES ARE ALWAYS HORRIBLE CASES, THAT THE POST-CONVICTION CONDUCT BY MR. BRESLIN WAS SOME OF THE WORST WE HAVE EVER SEEN. THERE IS AN INCREDIBLE LACK OF ACCEPTANCE AND LACK OF REMORSE. RECIDIVISM IN THIS CASE IS LIKELY, AND WE KNOW THAT BECAUSE HE HAS ALREADY PROVEN IT. HE APPARENTLY BELIEVES, AS I INDICATED, THAT THIS IS A VICTIMLESS CRIME, WHICH IS A TRAVESTY.

THE PSYCHOLOGICAL INFORMATION THAT WAS GIVEN TO ME WAS ALL INTERESTING, EXCEPT IT DIDN'T ADDRESS THE FACT THAT HE PROCEEDED TO VIOLATE AGAIN. SO IT IS LESS HELPFUL THAN IT MAY HAVE BEEN IN THE FIRST INSTANCE.

ANOTHER MITIGATION FACT THAT WE MADE SURE WAS INCLUDED IN

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESENTENCE REPORT IS THAT THERE HAVE BEEN NO CREDIBLE ALLEGATIONS OF HANDS-ON SEXUAL MISCONDUCT INVOLVING MR. BRESLIN. THIS CASE RECEIVED PUBLICITY. THERE WAS A HOTLINE SET UP, AND NOBODY MADE CREDIBLE ALLEGATIONS OF ACTUAL ABUSE.

HE HAS ENTERED A GUILTY PLEA, AND THAT AVOIDED THE TIME AND RESOURCES THE GOVERNMENT AND THIS COURT WOULD HAVE USED FOR A TRIAL.

NOW WE WILL GET INTO THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. FIRST IS THE NATURE OF THE FILES THAT MR. BRESLIN POSSESSED. THEY WERE VERY DISTURBING.

AS THE COURT KNOWS FROM READING THE GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING MEMO, PAGES 4 THROUGH 5 DESCRIBING SOME OF THE ORIGINAL FILES FOUND ON HIS HOME AND WORK LAPTOP COMMUTERS AND OTHER STORAGE DEVICES AT HIS HOME AND OFFICE, VERY GRAPHIC, VERY HORRIFIC TREATMENT OF YOUNG CHILDREN.

THEN AFTER ALL OF THESE COMPUTERS AND STORAGE DEVICES WERE SEIZED FROM HIS HOME AND OFFICE, AND HE WAS CHARGED, FIRST IN STATE COURT AND THEN LATER IN FEDERAL COURT WITH POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, HE OBTAINED A NEW LAPTOP COMPUTER, KEPT IT SECRET, A DIFFERENT THUMB DRIVE, AND CONTINUED TO ACCESS AND POSSESS VERY DISTURBING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY FILES AS SUMMARIZED IN PARAGRAPH 39 OF THE PRESENTENCE REPORT.

THERE'S NO DISPUTE THE NATURE OF THE HARM THAT THIS CRIME, TRADING OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, DOES TO CHILDREN. THE COURT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDICATED IT REVIEWED ABOUT A FOOT WORTH OF VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS AND DETAILED RESTITUTION REQUESTS. IT IS FAIR TO SAY THAT MANY OF THESE ARE HEARTBREAKING. THEY DESCRIBE THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ORIGINAL ABUSE OF THE CHILDREN AND OFTEN THE ONGOING IMPACT AND SOMETIMES MOTIVATING NATURE OF THE ABUSE WAS TO CREATE IMAGES AND VIDEOS TO SHARE WITH PEOPLE LIKE MR. BRESLIN.

ONE EXAMPLE IS THE BLUESPINK RESTITUTION REQUEST DESCRIBING THE RAPE OF A SIX-YEAR-OLD GIRL AND TEN-YEAR-OLD GIRL REPEATEDLY BY THEIR FATHER IN ORDER TO PRODUCE CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, AND THE FACT THAT THE FATHER AGREED TO LET A MAN HE MET ON THE INTERNET RAPE AND SEXUALLY ASSAULT HIS YOUNGER DAUGHTER, THE SIX-YEAR-OLD, IN ORDER TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PORNOGRAPHY MATERIALS FROM THAT MAN ON THE INTERNET.

IN THE VICKY SERIES WHERE THE COURT HAS RECEIVED THE VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT VIA VIDEO READING, THE ABUSER THERE TOOK ORDERS FOR THE SPECIFIC TYPE OF SEXUAL CRIMES THAT HE MIGHT VIDEO FOR HIS CUSTOMERS. THEN HE SCRIPTED AND COSTUMED VICKY'S ABUSE. VICKY HAS BEEN STOCKED BY CHILD PORNOGRAPHY ENTHUSIASTS ONLINE, AND ONE PERSON HAS BEEN CONVICTED IN NEVADA OF STOCKING HER.

MR. BRESLIN POSSESSED CHILD PORNOGRAPHY FILES IN MASSIVE QUANTITIES. AFTER THE AGENT BOOKMARKED OVER 300,000 FILES, THEY WERE EITHER CHILD PORNOGRAPHY OR CHILD EXPLOITATION IMAGES FROM JUST HIS HOME LAPTOP COMPUTER, I ASKED HER TO

DEVOTE HER ENERGIES TO OTHER INVESTIGATIONS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AS SOMEONE -- HE THEN HAD CHILD PORNOGRAPHY FILES ON HIS WORK LAPTOP COMPUTER, EXTERNAL HARD DRIVES, THUMB DRIVES, AND THEN WE KNOW DURING THE COURSE OF THIS CASE, AN ADDITIONAL LAPTOP COMPUTER WITH DIFFERENT IMAGES AND THUMB DRIVE WITH DIFFERENT IMAGES.

THE COURT'S ALREADY COVERED THIS, THE FACT THAT MR. BRESLIN CLAIMED IT WAS A VICTIMLESS CRIME, AND IT DOES SHOW HIS LACK OF CONCERN FOR THE WELL-BEING OF THESE CHILDREN. AS SOMEONE WHO APPEARED IN COURT, BY HIS OWN ADMISSION, HUNDREDS OF TIMES AS AN EXPERT WITNESS, SUPERIOR COURT FOR CUSTODY-TYPE OF CASES, IT'S REALLY CALLOUS FOR HIM TO SAY IT IS A VICTIMLESS CRIME, ESPECIALLY WHEN HE WAS FACING SERIOUS CHARGES.

HE CALLED THE CHILD VICTIMS OF HORRIFIC TRAUMA LIKE LITTLE CORPORATIONS WHO WERE JUST OUT TO GET HIS MONEY.

IN THE RECORDED CONVERSATIONS FROM JAIL AFTER HIS REMAND, MR. BRESLIN WAS HOPEFUL THAT HIS NEPHEW WOULD DESTROY EVIDENCE. HE TRIED TO STOP HIMSELF FOR BLAMING FAMILY MEMBERS THROUGH ALERTING THE COURT THAT HE WAS REOFFENDING AND WAS REALLY HOPEFUL THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT FIND THE MISSING THUMB DRIVE THAT CONTAINED MORE EVIDENCE OF HIS CHILD PORNOGRAPHY CRIMES.

WE BELIEVE THAT THERE IS A VERY HIGH RISK OF REOFFENDING, LIKE THE COURT DOES, DESPITE THE UPDATED PSYCHOLOGICAL REPORT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BECAUSE HE'S ALREADY DEMONSTRATED THAT EVEN AFTER THE FIRST BOND VIOLATION, MANY STRONG WARNINGS FROM JUDGE CORLEY, AND THE SEVEN-WEEK STINT AT THE RESIDENTIAL RE-ENTRY CENTER, AND HIS OUT-PATIENT COUNSELING, THE TRANSCRIPTS FROM THE BOND VIOLATION HEARINGS ILLUSTRATE THE BOLD, INTENTIONAL, DIRECT AND MOST FLAGRANT BOND VIOLATIONS THAT JUDGE CORLEY HAS SEEN IN HER SIX YEARS ON THE BENCH.

MR. BRESLIN IS SOPHISTICATED ENOUGH TO HIDE HIS CONDUCT. HE WAS APPARENTLY GOING TO RUSSIAN-BASED WEBSITES USING THE ONION ROUTER WHICH OBSCURES THE ACTUAL USER'S IP ADDRESS. HE WAS USING ENCRYPTED DEVICES. HE WAS ACCESSING DEPTH FILE WHICH IS A SECURE CLOUD-BASED TYPE OF STORAGE DEVICE.

HE HAD THIS HIDDEN LAPTOP AND THUMB DRIVE THAT HE DID NOT ADMIT TO PRETRIAL SERVICES OR THE COURT. AND HE HID HIS CHILD PORNOGRAPHY FILES UNDER CLIENT FOLDERS, INDICATING THAT HE FELT NOBODY WOULD EVER LOOK AT THOSE DUE TO THE NATURE OF CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT PSYCHOTHERAPIST COMMUNICATIONS.

WE BELIEVE HIS LETTERS AND HIS SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATIONS WITH FAMILY MEMBERS AND FRIENDS TRY TO MINIMIZE HIS CRIMES. WE HAVE ALREADY GONE OVER THE LACK OF ACCEPTANCE.

SO WITH RESPECT TO THE GUIDELINES BEING VERY HIGH, AS APPLIED, WE UNDERSTAND THE GUIDELINES IN THIS CASE WENT FROM WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE IN THE RANGE OF THE -- ABOUT 80-MONTH SENTENCE IF HE HADN'T COMMITTED THESE ADDITIONAL CRIMES AND IF HE HAD ACCEPTED RESPONSIBILITY.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SIX-LEVEL INCREASE FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT PLUS NOT GETTING ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY IS WHAT REALLY DRIVES THE GUIDELINES MUCH HIGHER.

YOUR HONOR HAS THE ABILITY TO CHOOSE THE APPROPRIATE SENTENCE THAT'S SUFFICIENT BUT NOT GREATER THAN NECESSARY. AND IN THIS CASE, SOME PEOPLE ARGUE THAT THE GUIDELINES FOR THE NUMBER OF IMAGES IS OVERSTATED. WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THAT IF ANYTHING IN THIS CASE, THE FIVE-LEVEL INCREASE FOR MORE THAN 600 IMAGES IS UNDERSTATED. THE USE OF A COMPUTER, ALTHOUGH IT APPLIES IN MOST CASES BROUGHT IN FEDERAL COURT, SHOULD CERTAINLY APPLY BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF HIS CRIMES AND REPEATED VIOLATIONS.

SO WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS COURT MOST LIKELY VIEWS THAT SENTENCE AS MORE THAN NECESSARY TO MEET THE SENTENCING GOALS AND SUBMIT TO YOUR DISCRETION, BUT WHAT DISTINGUISHES THIS CASE FROM OTHERS IS MR. BRESLIN'S HISTORY AS A CHILD PSYCHOLOGIST, WHICH HE IS NO LONGER PRACTICING, HIS VOLUME OF IMAGES, THE NATURE OF IMAGES, AND THE REPEATED CONDUCT EVEN WHILE ON RELEASE IN THIS CASE.

THE COURT: MR. GOLDROSEN.

MR. GOLDROSEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I DON'T WANT TO IN ANY WAY MINIMIZE MR. BRESLIN'S CONDUCT, AND I THINK HE'S GOING TO SPEAK TO THE COURT AND TELL THE COURT HIS EVOLUTION, AND I DON'T WANT TO IN ANY WAY TELL THE COURT THAT WHAT HE DID AFTER, WHILE ON PRETRIAL RELEASE, HAS

ANY MITIGATION OR THERE'S ANY EXPLANATION FOR IT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I DO THINK THAT THERE IS -- THAT THE PROCESS OF COMING TO ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY AND THE PROCESS CAN BE DIFFICULT. IT CAN BE PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT FOR SOMEONE IN MR. BRESLIN'S POSITION; SOMEONE WHO HAS, AS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT, FOR DECADES SEEN HIMSELF AS A LAW-ABIDING CONTRIBUTING MEMBER OF SOCIETY, DOING GOOD FOR OTHER PEOPLE, WHO, LATER IN LIFE -- AND -- AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT I CAN'T OFFER YOU AN EXPLANATION AS TO HOW THIS HAPPENED.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT THIS IS A RECENT TRANSGRESSION, SOMETHING THAT WASN'T GOING ON UNTIL 2015, 2016. I CAN TELL YOU THERE WERE THINGS THAT HAPPENED IN MR. BRESLIN'S LIFE WHICH WERE VERY DIFFICULT FOR HIM. THE LOSS OF HIS FATHER IN 2014 TO WHOM HE WAS VERY CLOSE, TO WHOM WAS KIND OF A ROCK OF STABILITY FOR HIM, THE LOSS OR THE BEING LEFT BY HIS WIFE AROUND THE SAME TIME. AND I UNDERSTAND, OBVIOUSLY THAT WAS MR. BRESLIN'S FOURTH WIFE, BUT THAT WAS PARTICULARLY SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE HE FELT THAT NOW WHO'S GOING TO BE ON HIS OWN WITHOUT ANY COMPANIONSHIP FOR THE REST OF HIS LIFE. AND AS DR. FLINTON NOTED IN HIS REPORT, THERE ARE SIGNS OF EARLY COGNITIVE PROBLEMS.

NOW, THESE CIRCUMSTANCES LEFT MR. BRESLIN IN A POSITION WHERE HE WAS DEEPLY DEPRESSED. IT LEFT HIM IN A POSITION WHERE HE WAS... FELT THAT HE HAD LOST HIS WAY. AND SOMEHOW, SOME WAY, AND I CANNOT EXPLAIN IT TO THE COURT, HIS COLLECTION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY AND VIEWING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY PROVIDED SOME NEED FOR HIM, SATISFIED SOME NEED FOR HIM THAT I CAN'T TELL YOU AND MR. BRESLIN CAN'T TELL YOU EITHER.

HE'S RECOGNIZING IT. HIS... HE'S STRUGGLING TO DEAL WITH IT, AND I THINK THAT'S INDICATIVE OF SOME OF THE COMMENTS HE MADE WHICH WERE HORRIBLE COMMENTS THAT HE MADE TO HIS SISTER AND TO FRIENDS HE SPOKE WITH.

IT'S BEEN A TOUGH ROAD FOR HIM TO REALIZE THAT HE IS A CHILD PORNOGRAPHER, THAT HE HAS DONE THESE HORRIBLE THINGS INVOLVING CHILDREN, THE VERY PEOPLE HE HAS BEEN HELPING ALL THOSE YEARS.

I KNOW, I'VE MET WITH HIM MANY TIMES. WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE -- AND I'VE SHOWN HIM THE STATEMENTS FROM VICTIMS, AND I HAVE HAD HIM READ THEM TO HELP HIM UNDERSTAND WHAT HE DID. AND I THINK HE IS GETTING IT. IT TOOK, UNFORTUNATELY, PUTTING HIM IN JAIL, PUTTING HIM IN JAIL FOR NINE MONTHS. HE UNDERSTANDS HE'S GOING TO PRISON.

HE ALSO UNDERSTANDS THAT WHEN HE GOES TO PRISON, THERE IS A PROGRAM THERE, A PROGRAM THAT IS SUPPOSED TO HELP HIM. AND FROM WHAT I'VE READ, DOES HELP PEOPLE WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM. AND FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND HAS A VERY GOOD SUCCESS RATE IN TERMS OF RECIDIVISM.

I KNOW THE COURT IS NOT CONFIDENT THAT MR. BRESLIN WILL NOT BE A RECIDIVIST, BUT I THINK THAT IN THE CONTEXT OF AFTER HE'S RECEIVED AND COMPLETED THIS PROGRAM, AFTER HE SPENT HIS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TIME IN CUSTODY, AT THE AGE HE IS GOING TO BE, ASSUMING HE'S STILL ALIVE WHEN HE GETS OUT, HE IS NOT GOING TO BE A RECIDIVIST, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE COURT TAKES A LOOK AT THE STUDIES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE, AND DR. FLINTON'S EVALUATION WAS PART OF THAT, SHOWING THAT THIS TYPE OF OFFENSE HAS A VERY LOW RECIDIVIST RATE.

I THINK THAT AS HORRIBLE AS WHAT MR. BRESLIN DID, IT IS STILL -- AND EVEN WITH ALL THE IMAGES YOU HAVE AND THE REPEAT OFFENSE, IT IS STILL THE LOWER ECHELON OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY TYPE OF OFFENSES. IT DID NOT INVOLVE, OBVIOUSLY, DISTRIBUTION, PRODUCTION. IT DID NOT INVOLVE CONTACT WITH ANY MINORS. IT DID NOT INVOLVE INTERNET CHATS WITH MINORS. IT DID NOT INVOLVE SOLICITATION OF MINORS. IT DID NOT INVOLVE SHOWING PORNOGRAPHY TO MINORS.

IT'S SIMPLY, AND AS HORRIBLE AS THIS IS, I UNDERSTAND IT, IT WAS COLLECTING A LARGE AMOUNT FOR HIS OWN VIEWING TO SATISFY SOME NEED THAT WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT AND MR. BRESLIN IS HOPING HE CAN FIGURE OUT WHEN HE GOES TO PRISON AND PARTICIPATES IN THIS PROGRAM.

I THINK THAT THE COURT HAS TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION AS A SIGNIFICANT MITIGATING FACTOR ALL THE GOOD THINGS THAT HE HAS DONE IN HIS CAREER. LOOK AT THE TYPES OF EMPLOYMENT HE HAS HAD AS A PSYCHOLOGIST WHEN HE FIRST STARTED OUT DOING HIS WORK. HE WORKED AT THE SAN MATEO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH. HE WORKED AT THE SAN FRANCISCO JAIL PSYCHOLOGICAL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERVICES, INSTITUTE OF CHILD PSYCHOLOGY, THE TENDERLOIN PSYCHOLOGIST SERVICES. HE DEVOTED A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE BEGINNING OF HIS CAREER WORKING WITH PEOPLE FROM DISADVANTAGED BACKGROUNDS, PEOPLE WHO HAVE SUFFERED ALL KINDS OF TRAUMA, AND THEN HE WENT ON TO HIS PRIVATE PRACTICE.

I KNOW THE COURT HAS SEEN THE LETTERS FROM PATIENTS WHO DESCRIBE A THERAPIST, I THINK IS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED AS SOMEONE WHO IS EXTRAORDINARY, EXTRAORDINARY IN HIS COMPASSION FOR HIS PATIENTS, EXTRAORDINARY IN THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HIS TREATMENT FOR HIS PATIENTS, EXTRAORDINARY IN THE COMMITMENT TO HIS PATIENTS. YOU'VE SEEN THE LETTERS FROM HIS FAMILY MEMBERS ABOUT HIS LEAVING SOCIAL FUNCTIONS TO DEAL WITH HIS PATIENTS.

YOU'VE READ THE LETTER FROM THE PATIENT WHO SAID HE ONLY CHARGED \$35 AN HOUR TO HELP HER BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T HAVE THE KIND OF MONEY THAT ONE WOULD TYPICALLY PAY FOR THE THERAPIST. THAT SHOWS A PERSON WITH TREMENDOUS COMPASSION, TREMENDOUS EMPATHY.

HOW WE GET FROM THERE TO HERE, I'M SORRY, I CAN'T TELL THE COURT. HOW THE EMPATHY HE COULD FEEL FOR HIS PATIENTS HASN'T YET KICKED IN, BUT I AM CONFIDENT THAT IT WILL KICK IN WHEN HE GETS THAT TREATMENT AND WHEN HE DOES HIS TIME. I JUST DON'T THINK WE NEED TO LOCK HIM UP AND THROW HIM AWAY FOREVER.

YOU'VE SEEN THE LETTERS FROM THE FAMILY. IT TALKS ABOUT HOW CONTRIBUTING... HOW MUCH HE CONTRIBUTED TO HIS FAMILY, TO HIS CHILDREN, TO HIS SISTER, COMPASSION, HIS UPBEAT, THE

NATURE THAT HE HAD WITH EVERYBODY, HOW MUCH EVERYONE LIKED 1 2 HIM, HOW DEDICATED HE WAS AS A FAMILY MEMBER. 3 I'M NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE GUIDELINES BECAUSE I THINK THE COURT HAS SEEN MY BRIEFING AND I THINK THAT THAT IS 4 5 ACCURATE. THE ONLY OTHER THING I WOULD POINT OUT IS THE SUPERVISED 6 7 RELEASE RELEASE CONDITIONS THAT ARE RECOMMENDED ARE VERY 8 STRINGENT. THEY REQUIRE CLOSE MONITORING OF DR. BRESLIN'S 9 COMPUTER USE, CLOSE MONITORING OF CONTACT WITH CHILDREN. WE HAVE NO OBJECTIONS TO ANY OF THESE VERY STRINGENT GUIDELINES. 10 11 HE'S GOING TO BE ON SUPERVISED RELEASE FOR A LONG TIME, 12 FIVE YEARS, GIVEN HIS STAGE OF LIFE. AND SO I THINK THE 13 COMBINATION OF A LESS THAN GUIDELINE SENTENCE IN WHICH HE'S 14 GOING TO GET THE TREATMENT, THE STRINGENT SUPERVISED RELEASE 15 CONDITIONS WILL BE ENOUGH TO MAKE SURE THAT HE DOES NOT 16 REOFFEND. 17 THE COURT: MS. MAR, ANYTHING TO ADD? PROBATION OFFICER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 18 19 THE COURT: MR. BRESLIN, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT AT YOUR SENTENCING TO ADDRESS THE COURT. WOULD YOU LIKE TO DO SO? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SIR. 23 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 24 THE DEFENDANT: THANKS. 25 I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT I DO TAKE FULL RESPONSIBILITY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOR MY BEHAVIOR; THAT ANYTHING I OFFER BY WAY OF BACKGROUND OR EXPLANATION IS NOT MEANT TO EXCUSE OR RATIONALIZE MY BEHAVIOR. WHAT I DID WAS WRONG AND I SHOULD HAVE KNOWN BETTER.

I LOOKED AT THOSE IMAGES AND I KNEW I WAS ON A SLIPPERY SLOPE, YET I CONSCIOUSLY, DELIBERATELY, KNOWINGLY DID IT ANYWAY. I'M ASHAMED AND DISAPPOINTED IN MYSELF.

AS HAS BEEN MENTIONED, SHORTLY AFTER I WAS INCARCERATED, I REMARKED IN A PHONE CALL THAT MY CRIME WAS VICTIMLESS. OF COURSE THAT'S NOT TRUE. I'VE STUDIED AND TREATED VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ABUSE FOR OVER 40 YEARS... AND I RECOGNIZE THE DANGER TO CHILDREN WHO, IN THE CARE OF OLDER SIBLINGS OR TRUSTED ADULTS, TAKE THEIR EMOTIONAL SCARS WITH THEM THROUGHOUT THEIR LIVES REGARDLESS OF THE SUCCESS OF TREATMENT.

SO WHY DID I SAY IT? THE TRUTH IS I HADN'T COME TO TERMS WITH WHAT I HAD DONE, WITH THE SERIOUSNESS OF MY OFFENSE. IT TOOK ME... IT TOOK BEING INCARCERATED AND LOSING MY FREEDOM FOR ME TO FINALLY UNDERSTAND THE SERIOUSNESS OF MY BEHAVIOR.

I WASN'T INFLICTING DIRECT HARM TO A CHILD, BUT I WAS EFFECTIVELY SANCTIONING THAT HARM WITH EVERY VIEWING, AND IT'S TAKEN ME THIS LONG TO GET IT.

AS TO THE OTHER PEOPLE THAT I HAVE HARMED BY MY CONDUCT, MY FAMILY, MY FRIENDS, MY COLLEAGUES, MY FORMER PATIENTS, I SINCERELY APOLOGIZE. I HAVE HUMILIATED AND EMBARRASSED MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS. I LOST THE RESPECT OF MY COLLEAGUES. I'VE GIVEN A BLACK EYE TO MY PROFESSION AND I JEOPARDIZED THE PROGRESS OF MY PATIENTS. I AM SO SORRY FOR BEING SO THOUGHTLESS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITH THAT SAID, I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY WHO HAS COME TO SUPPORT ME TODAY. YOU DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR ME THAT YOU ARE HERE.

DESPITE ALL MY -- SORRY, SHAME, REGRET, REMORSE THAT I AM FEELING, I AM ALSO VERY PROUD AND THANKFUL WITH MY RELATIONSHIP WITH EACH OF YOU.

I HAVE BEEN PRETTY ANXIOUS ABOUT TODAY. ON THE ONE HAND THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE PAST 19 OR 20 MONTHS WILL BE CLARIFIED AND THE COURT -- BY THE COURT, AND I'LL KNOW MY FATE. ON THE OTHER HAND I'M TERRIFIED ABOUT GOING TO PRISON. I'M ALMOST 70 AND MY HEALTH HAS BEEN POOR, AND I'M JUST AFRAID THAT WILL BE IT FOR ME.

I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MY PERSONAL LIFE AND PROFESSIONAL LIFE, BUT VERY BRIEFLY. MY PARENTS WERE BOTH BORN AND RAISED IN THE PHILIPPINES. THEY MET AND MARRIED IN WORLD WAR II, AND WITH MY SISTER ELIZABETH, WHO WAS ONLY SEVERAL MONTHS OLD IN 1945, THEY BARELY ESCAPED THE BOMBS BEING DROPPED ON MANILA AT THE END OF THE WAR.

AS MY FATHER WAS BORN AN AMERICAN CITIZEN, THEY WERE ABLE TO HITCH A RIDE ON AN AMERICAN WARSHIP, AND IT ARRIVED IN SAN FRANCISCO IN AUGUST OF 1945. THEY EVENTUALLY RELOCATED TO SAN CARLOS, THAT'S DOWN IN THE PENINSULA, WHERE WE LIVED UNTIL I GRADUATED FROM HIGH SCHOOL IN 1966.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EVEN THROUGH MY REBELLIOUS YEARS, MY FOLKS WERE ALWAYS SUPPORTIVE AND THEY ENCOURAGED ME TO STAND UP FOR MY BELIEFS. I CAN SPEAK FOR MY SISTER WHEN I SAY THAT WE BOTH FEEL THAT WE WERE REALLY LUCKY TO HAVE THEM AS PARENTS.

MOM AND DAD PASSED AWAY IN THE LAST 12 YEARS. MOM IN 2006, AFTER SEVEN YEARS' STRUGGLE WITH ALZHEIMERS. DAD, ALMOST 91, IN 2014 AFTER AN UNFORTUNATE SERIES OF EVENTS.

MY SISTER IS MY ONLY SIBLING, AND WE HAVE BEEN CLOSE MOST OF OUR LIVES. WE RAISED OUR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN TO BE CLOSE, AND WE CELEBRATE MOST HOLIDAYS TOGETHER.

I HAVE THREE GREAT KIDS AND ONE GRANDDAUGHTER WHO IS TEN AND A HALF, ALLISON. MY OLDEST, ELLEN, IS A PHYSICIAN AND PRACTICES MEDICINE IN SAN DIEGO. MY SECOND, KATIE ROSE, IS A -- HAS A MASTER'S DEGREE IN WOMEN'S STUDIES AND IS ABOUT TO BEGIN TEACHING ENGLISH AT THE URBAN SCHOOL IN SAN FRANCISCO IN AUGUST. AND MY YOUNGEST, SAMUEL, HE TAKES AFTER HIS GRANDFATHER, WHO'S BEEN A CARPENTER, BUT HE IS ABOUT TO BEGIN A GRADUATE STUDY IN NEW YORK AT BARD COLLEGE.

MY PROFESSIONAL LIFE HAS SPANNED 45 YEARS. FOR 25 OF THOSE YEARS, I DEDICATED MY LIFE TO HELPING CHILDREN WHO WERE VICTIMS OF SEXUAL OR PHYSICAL ABUSE RECOVERING FROM PTSD. I ALSO SPENT SEVERAL YEARS WORKING WITH CHILDREN WITH TERMINAL ILLNESS.

IN 1992, I OPENED A TRAINING CLINIC IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AND NAMED IT A CHILD'S POINT OF VIEW. I WAS THE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OWNER/DIRECTOR FOR A STAFF OF 18 MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS AND DOCTORATE-LEVEL INTERNS.

WE WORKED WITH THE CALIFORNIA VICTIMS OF CRIME PROGRAM, CONTRA COSTA CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES, AND SEVERAL LOCAL POLICE AGENCIES DEALING WITH AN INCREASED NUMBER OF SEXUAL ABUSE CASES. THE CLINIC OPERATED FOR TEN YEARS UNTIL 2001, 2002. I RETIRED FROM DOING CHILD PSYCHOTHERAPY SHORTLY THEREAFTER, MAYBE A YEAR OR TWO LATER, DUE TO A BACK INJURY. I'M KIND OF SUFFERING FROM IT TODAY.

YOUR HONOR, I WANT TO REMIND THE COURT THAT I HAVE NOT OPERATED AS A CHILD PSYCHOLOGIST NOR HAVE I BEEN A DIRECTOR OF A CHILD'S POINT OF VIEW FOR OVER 15 YEARS. THE PRESS WAS MISINFORMED SOMEHOW WHEN I WAS FIRST ARRESTED IN OCTOBER OF 2016. AND IT HAS CONTINUED TO STATE THOSE MISCONCEPTIONS.

FINALLY, I WANT TO MENTION MY WORK WITH JUVENILE ADULT SEXUAL OFFENDERS FOR THE LAST 25 YEARS. FOR PATIENTS WHO POSSESSED CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, MY PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES WERE ALWAYS WELL-DEFINED. MY RESPECT FOR VICTIMS HAS ALWAYS BEEN IN THE FOREFRONT OF MY MIND WHILE PRACTICING PSYCHOLOGY. I NEVER VIOLATED THE LAW DURING MY CAREER. AND I'M MOST PROUD THAT DURING MY ENTIRE CAREER, THERE IS NOT A SINGLE COMPLAINT FILED WITH THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY OR CONSUMER AFFAIRS.

I'VE ALWAYS STRIVED TO BE ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE LAW. MY KIDS WOULD ATTEST THAT I HARDLY EVEN EXCEED THE SPEED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LIMIT. I DON'T THINK SMOKE TOBACCO OR MARIJUANA. I DON'T DRINK ALCOHOL. I DON'T TAKE DRUGS, NONPRESCRIPTION DRUGS. BUT IN 2014, A SERIES OF EVENTS TURNED MY LIFE UPSIDE DOWN. FIRST, WE LOST OUR DAD IN APRIL. I WAS SPENDING AVAILABLE WEEKENDS WITH HIM AND I HELD HIM -- I HELD MYSELF RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS HEALTH DECLINE IN SOME WAYS. SEVERAL MONTHS LATER, IN 2015, I LOST MY WIFE AND MY MARRIAGE, AND I COMPLETELY DIDN'T SEE THAT COMING. MY MENTAL HEALTH JUST SPIRALED OUT OF CONTROL. AND BY 2016, I HAD LOST MY WAY. IT HAS TAKEN ME SOME TIME TO COME TO TERMS WITH MY PROBLEM WITH CHILD PORNOGRAPHY. I NOW SEE HOW I DIDN'T TAKE SERIOUSLY THE DEGREE OF MY PROBLEM. STILL, THAT DOESN'T EXCUSE MY BEHAVIOR, AND I ONLY HOLD MYSELF TO BLAME. AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, THESE LAST NINE MONTHS WAS KIND OF A BLESSING IN DISGUISE. I HAD NINE MONTHS TO THINK AND REFLECT ON THIS DARK PERIOD OF MY LIFE AND ABOUT THE CRIME THAT I HAD COMMITTED. I'M FULLY COMMITTED TO A FULL RECOVERY. I RECOGNIZE NOW THAT POSSESSING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY IS HARMFUL TO CHILDREN BY WAY OF PARTICIPATING IN THE LINE OF ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR TOWARD THEM. I ALSO NOW UNDERSTAND THE ADDICTIVE NATURE OF ALL -- PORNOGRAPHY OF ANY KIND. DESPITE THE YEARS I SPENT TREATING SEX OFFENDERS, CHILDREN, I WAS BLIND TO THE DANGERS OF POSSESSING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY PRESENTED. AGAIN, THAT DOESN'T EXCUSE MY BEHAVIOR, IT DOESN'T AT ALL, BUT IT DOES HELP TO UNDERSTAND

ME. 1 2 I'M COMMITTED TO A FULL RECOVERY, AS I SAID, AND I WILL 3 ABIDE BY THE COURT'S DECISION OF COURSE, AND WILL PARTICIPATE IN ANY RECOMMENDED TREATMENT. 4 5 THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. 7 ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE GOVERNMENT? 8 MS. MCCALL: SUBMITTED, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: MR. GOLDROSEN? MR. GOLDROSEN: I WOULD JUST ASK THE COURT TO 10 11 RECOMMEND THE SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM AND A FACILITY 12 CLOSE TO THE BAY AREA. 13 THE COURT: IS THERE ONE THAT YOU KNOW OF? 14 MR. GOLDROSEN: IS THE COURT ASKING IF THERE IS A SEX 15 OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM CLOSE TO THE BAY AREA? 16 THE COURT: CORRECT. 17 MR. GOLDROSEN: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THERE IS. Ι 18 THINK WHAT HAPPENS, DEPENDING ON THE LENGTH OF THE TERM, THAT 19 HE WILL BE IN A SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM DURING THE LAST 20 PART OF HIS TERM. IF THE SENTENCE IS LONGER THAN NEEDED FOR 21 THAT, THEN I WOULD ASK -- I OBVIOUSLY WOULD WANT HIM IN THE 22 BAY AREA FIRST AND GO TO WHEREVER HE IS GOING TO HAVE HIS 23 TREATMENT DEPENDING ON THE LENGTH OF THE TERM. 24 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS 25 ASKING FOR A MANDATORY 10K FINE UNDER SECTION -- TITLE 18,

SECTION 3014? 1 2 MS. MCCALL: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT 3 OF \$5,000 APPLIES TO BOTH COUNTS ONE AND TWO. SO THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT IS \$10,300 FOR THIS CASE SINCE 4 5 MR. BRESLIN IS NOT INDIGENT. 6 MR. GOLDROSEN: JUST ON THAT POINT, YOUR HONOR, I 7 BELIEVE WHILE PROBATION HAS RECOMMENDED A FINE, THE GOVERNMENT 8 IS NOT ASKING FOR A FINE IN ADDITION TO THE SPECIAL 9 ASSESSMENT. WE WOULD ASK THAT IN LIGHT OF THE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS 10 11 THAT HAS BEEN SET ASIDE, WHICH IS PRETTY MUCH GOING TO BE 12 CONSUMED BY RESTITUTION TO THE VICTIMS AND A SPECIAL 13 ASSESSMENT, THE COURT NOT IMPOSE AN ADDITIONAL FINE. 14 MS. MCCALL: THAT'S CORRECT. I WAS SPEAKING ABOUT 15 THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AND NOT A FINE. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 17 IS THERE ANY REASON WHY SENTENCE SHOULD NOT NOW BE 18 IMPOSED, MR. GOLDROSEN? 19 MR. GOLDROSEN: NO. 20 THE COURT: BEFORE I IMPOSE A SENTENCE, MR. BRESLIN, 21 I'M GOING TO REVIEW FOR YOU AND FOR ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC 22 WHO WISHES TO LISTEN SOME OF THE THINGS THAT I READ AND 23 LEARNED AS I WAS WORKING ON YOUR CASE. 24 FOR THOSE WITH SENSITIVE EARS, YOU MAY WANT TO LEAVE

25

**OUIETLY.** 

I WAS REALLY TAKEN IN THIS CASE BY THE LACK OF UNDERSTANDING AND APPRECIATION FOR THE HARM THAT HAPPENS BECAUSE OF THIS CRIME. PEOPLE SEEM TO THINK THAT POSSESSION IS VICTIMLESS, AND IT'S NOT. IT HAS SIGNIFICANT REAL EFFECTS ON REAL PEOPLE, AND THERE IS A REASON WHY WE PUNISH PEOPLE WHO ENGAGE IN THIS CONDUCT. AND PART OF THAT PUNISHMENT SERVES AS A DETERRENCE TO OTHERS TO NOT GO DOWN THAT PATH AND TO AVOID IT AT ALL COSTS. AND IF YOU FIND YOURSELF AT THAT SLOPE, TO GO AND SEEK HELP. AND IF YOU DON'T, YOU WILL BE PUNISHED. WE CANNOT TOLERATE IT IN THIS SOCIETY.

PART OF THE LAW IS NOT JUST ABOUT REHABILITATION. WE HAVE TO PROMOTE RESPECT FOR THE LAW. AND TO -- YOU KNOW, AT THE START, WHEN I TOLD YOU PROBATION WAS NEVER AN OPTION FOR YOU, IT'S BECAUSE I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IN THIS SOCIETY WE CAN SAY WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU SOME EDUCATION AND SLAP YOU ON THE HAND FOR ENGAGING IN THIS KIND OF CONDUCT.

I HAVE BEEN ASKED SPECIFICALLY BY THE VICTIMS IN THE VICKY SERIES TO READ AT THE SENTENCING THE VICTIM'S HUSBAND'S IMPACT STATEMENT. THAT WAS A SPECIFIC REQUEST MADE AS PART OF THIS CASE, AND I AM GOING TO HONOR THAT REQUEST.

THIS IS FROM -- THIS IS A LETTER TO THE DEFENDANT FROM VICKY, WHO IS THE VICKY IN THE VICKY SERIES, FROM HER HUSBAND. IT READS AS FOLLOWS:

> "IN MY HOME, EVERY DAY IS RIDDLED WITH CHALLENGES. EACH WITH ITS OWN CHALLENGES THAT PLAGUE THE MIND AND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SEAR A BROKEN HARD TOWARDS CALLOUS OBSCURITY. WE 1 2 CHASE THE GHOST OF THE PAST AND THE BATTLE, THE 3 PHANTOMS THAT KEEP THE DARK CIRCULATING. "UNFORTUNATELY TIME CAN'T HEAL ALL THINGS. THIS IS 4 5 ESPECIALLY TRUE AS WE FACE THE PERSECUTION OF A WOUND 6 OPENED AGAIN AND AGAIN FOR THE PLEASURE OF STRANGERS. 7 YOU HAVE IMPACT MORE THAN YOU KNOW. 8 "IT IS OUR DESIRE NOT ONLY THAT JUSTICE BE SERVED, 9 BUT THAT TRUE CHANGE WOULD BE ENACTED THROUGH THE ENLIGHTENMENT OF THIS UNFORTUNATE SITUATION. 10 11 "I ASSURE YOU THAT CHILD PORNOGRAPHY IS NOT A 12 VICTIMLESS CRIME. THESE ARE NOT THE RECORDED TALES 13 OF MUTUAL LOVE, BUT THE VIOLATION OF A CHILD'S TRUST 14 AND THE SAVAGE DESTRUCTION OF THEIR CHILDHOOD 15 RESULTING IN A FRACTURED AND UNFORGIVING ADULTHOOD. 16 THERE ARE MANY EFFECTS OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, SOME 17 MORE EXTREME THAN OTHERS. 18 "MY WIFE SUFFERS FROM THE DISASSOCIATIVE PERSONALITY 19 DISORDER, WHICH MEANS HER MEMORY SHUTS OFF TO PROTECT 20 HER FROM TRIGGERS TO PERCEIVE TRAUMA. MANY OF THESE 21 TRIGGERS, SOME AS SIMPLE AS A WORD HAVE BEEN 22 DISCOVERED, BUT AS YOU MIGHT GUESS, THERE ARE STILL 23 SOME NEW SCENARIOS ENCOUNTERED ALL THE TIME. "WE ARE STILL UNABLE TO CELEBRATE HER BIRTHDAY 24 25 BECAUSE OF THE EVENTS THAT WERE BEYOND HER CONTROL,

AND THE EFFECTS STILL LINGER TODAY. HER FAMILY MUST 1 2 GUARD OUR PERSONALITIES VERY CLOSELY WHEN USING 3 SOCIAL MEDIA OR OTHER INTERNET ACCOUNTS. "WE HAVE BEEN PLAGUED BY STOCKER AFTER STOCKER 4 5 CALLING THEMSELVES FANS AND BEING OBLIVIOUS TO THE DAMAGE THEY ARE CAUSING A SURVIVOR OF ONE OF THE MOST 6 7 TRAUMATIC EVENTS A HUMAN CAN EXPERIENCE. 8 "MY AIM IS NOT TO HURT YOU WITH THESE WORDS. I PRAY 9 THAT YOU WERE UNAWARE OF THE DAMAGE YOU HAVE CAUSED. MY GREATEST HOPE IS THAT WE CAN MEET WITH THE 10 11 REALIZATION THAT CHILD PORNOGRAPHY MUST STOP. THERE 12 ARE TOO MANY LITTLE GIRLS WITH BROKEN HEARTS WALKING 13 AROUND FEELING EMPTY BECAUSE SOMEONE WANTED WHAT WAS 14 NOT THEIRS AND THEN TOOK IT BY FORCE AND COERCION. 15 YOU ARE A PARTICIPANT IN THAT CRIME. YOU BECAME PART 16 OF THE PROBLEM. 17 "I AM ASKING YOU NOW TO HELP US MAKE AMENDS FOR YOUR SINS AND THE SINS OF MANY. PLEASE SPEAK OUT AGAINST 18 19 THIS ACT, IMPACT THE WORLD AROUND YOU FOR GOOD AND 20 TURN AWAY FROM WHAT I CAN ONLY DESCRIBE AS A GREAT 21 EVIL IN OUR MIDST. THIS EVIL CREEPS INTO THE LIVES 22 OF OTHERWISE GOOD MEN AND BEGINS TO CORRUPT THE 23 HEART. "AM I IMPERFECT? AND SO HOW COULD I EXPECT YOU TO 24 25 BE, FOR I AM IMPERFECT. YOU HAVE BEEN ALREADY

FORGIVEN AND I WANT NOTHING MORE THAN YOUR FULL 1 2 RESTORATION WITHOUT THE MAR OF YOUR PAST HABITS AND 3 APPETITES. "UNFORTUNATELY THAT MEANS CONSEQUENCES, THOSE THAT 4 5 CHALLENGE YOU AND PLACE YOU IN NEW SCENARIOS IN LIFE. 6 I BELIEVE THAT GOD HAS A PLAN FOR YOU. HE HAS NOT 7 CAST YOU ASIDE OR CONDEMNED YOU OUTRIGHT. PLEASE 8 CONSIDER THE WAYS YOU CAN TURN YOUR PERSPECTIVE 9 AROUND. EXPERIENCE TRUE REPENTANCE AND WALK FREELY 10 AS A MAN REDEEMED. 11 "I DON'T WISH YOU PAIN. I DON'T WISH YOU SORROW. 12 ONLY ASK YOUR ASSISTANCE IN PUTTING THE LIGHT BACK IN 13 SO MANY BROKEN LITTLE GIRLS' EYES. HELP PUT AN END 14 TO CHILD PORNOGRAPHY. YOU HAVE MORE IMPACT THAN YOU 15 KNOW." 16 FROM THE AT SCHOOL SERIES. THIS IS VIOLET, HER MOTHER, 17 WHO READS -- WHO SENDS A NOTE SAYING: "KNOWING THAT PEOPLE ALL OVER THE WORLD CAN CONTINUE 18 19 TO EXPLOIT HER IS OF THE DEEPEST CONCERN. WE DREAD 20 THE DAY WE MUST TELL HER, OUR CHILD, HER ABUSE WAS 21 VIDEOTAPED AND DISTRIBUTED ALL OVER THE INTERNET. 22 ONCE SHE FULLY COMPREHENDS HOW HER SUFFERED ABUSE HAS 23 BEEN SPREAD AROUND THE INTERNET FOR OTHERS TO QUOTE 'ENJOY' CLOSE QUOTE, IT WILL SHATTER HER SOUL. 24 25 "I REPEAT, WE ARE NOT AFRAID OF HER PHYSICAL AND

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MENTAL HEALTH -- WE ARE AFRAID FOR HER PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH. WHILE OUT SHOPPING OR EATING AT A RESTAURANT, WE ARE CONSTANTLY WORRIED AND AFRAID ONE OF THESE ONLINE MONSTERS WILL RECOGNIZE HER FROM THE VIDEOS. IF SHE'S RECOGNIZED, WHAT STOPS THEM FROM APPROACHING HER, KIDNAPPING HER. AS A MOTHER THIS IS MY WORST FEAR. "THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MUCH COUNSELING SHE WILL NEED. A SEXUAL ABUSE ACT ITSELF IS ONE ASPECT TO HEAL FROM, BUT THE MORE CHALLENGING ASPECT IS THE SIMPLE ABUSE -- FACT THAT HER ABUSE IS FOREVER AVAILABLE ONLINE." THIS IS FROM SARAH, IN THE SARAH SERIES, THE MARINELAND SERIES. IT READS: "DURING THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF HER ABUSER, SARAH WAS INFORMED OF THE EXISTENCE OF PHOTOS AND VIDEOS. IT WAS ANOTHER BLOW WHICH SHE HAD TO ABSORB. "THE EXISTENCE OF HER PICTURES ON THE WEB BECAME EVEN

MORE CONCRETE AND FRIGHTENING FOR HER WHEN, AS A YOUNG ADULT, IN THE MIDST OF HAVING A VIDEO CHAT WITH A GIRL SHE MET ONLINE, THE GIRL SENT HER A LINK TO A WEBSITE. THE GIRL GAVE NO DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THE LINK WAS. WHEN SARAH CLICKED ON IT, SHE FOUND THE PICTURES OF HERSELF AS A CHILD BEING SEXUALLY ABUSED. SHE HAD NEVER SEEN THE PHOTOS, AND IT PUSHED THEM

FROM HER CONSCIENCE, MEMORIES. 1 2 "WHILE IT MAY SEEM COUNTERINTUITIVE, THE 3 PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS WHICH CAUSE THE MOST FUNCTIONAL PROBLEMS FOR SARAH STEM FROM THE FEAR SHE 4 5 HAS DAY-TO-DAY OF BEING FOUND AND HARASSED BY CURRENT 6 CHILD PORNOGRAPHY CONSUMERS. 7 "THE ORIGINAL PERPETRATOR IS LOCKED AWAY IN JAIL AND 8 SHE KNOWS SHE'S SAFE FROM HIM, BUT SHE CONTINUES TO 9 BE AFRAID OF BEING RECOGNIZED BY OTHERS OR BEING 10 SOUGHT OUT BY THOSE WHO HAVE DOWNLOADED HER IMAGES. 11 THIS LIMITS HER ABILITY TO RETURN TO NORMALCY." 12 SHE HERSELF WRITES THAT SHE'S AFRAID THAT SOMEONE FROM THE 13 POLICE WILL CALL AND TELL ME THEY FOUND MORE PICTURES OF ME ON 14 OTHER PEOPLE'S COMPUTERS. EVERY TIME SOMEONE ELSE SEES 15 PICTURES OF VIDEOS OF ME, IT FEELS LIKE THEY ARE THE ONES WHO 16 HURT ME TO BEGIN WITH. IT FEELS LIKE THEY ARE THE ONES WHO 17 DID THIS TO ME, LIKE THEY ARE... THAT THEY JUST WANT TO USE ME 18 FOR THEIR OWN PLEASURE. IT IS LIKE I AM HERE FOR OTHER 19 PEOPLE'S PLEASURE, AND I AM NOT A PERSON MYSELF WITH MY OWN 20 WANTS AND NEEDS. 21 I NEED HELP. I HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO HAVE ANY 22 COUNSELING OR THERAPY OR SOMEONE TO TALK TO TO HELP ME DEAL 23 WITH MY FEARS AND MY NIGHTMARES AND MY PROBLEMS IN GETTING

ALONG IN LIFE.

FROM AARON AND FIONA, THE BLUE -- PINKSBLUE CHILD SERIES.

24

25

ADMITS TO LOSING TIME, AT LEAST A FEW TIMES A DAY."

25

RECOVERING MORE DIFFICULT AND IT PREVENTS THE WOUNDS FROM HEALING."

## A MALE VICTIM:

1

2

3

4

25

"I'M A MALE CHILD OF CHILD ABUSE AND CHILD PORNOGRAPHY. MY SEXUAL ABUSE OCCURRED FROM AGES 6 TO 12. DURING THIS TIME MY VICTIMIZATION WAS DOCUMENTED AND SHARED ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND IS CONTINUALLY BEING SHARED. IT'S KNOWN AS THE SPONGE BOB SERIES. "THE IMAGES AND VIDEOS TAKEN OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSED ARE ONE OF THE MOST WIDELY DISTRIBUTED MALE VICTIM SERIES IN THE COUNTRY. THE FACT THAT THE IMAGES ARE OUT THERE AND ARE BEING SHARED EVERY DAY IS SOMETHING THAT I THINK ABOUT AND HAVE TO DEAL WITH EVERY SINGLE

I'VE EXPERIENCED NEGATIVE CHANGES IN MY LIFE, MY PERSONALITY, MY OUTLOOK ON LIFE AND THE WORLD, MY ABILITY TO TRUST AND INTERACT WITH PEOPLE. ONE OF THE MAIN ISSUES I DEAL WITH IS ANGER, ESPECIALLY TO MALE STRANGERS. I'M NATURALLY SUSPICIOUS EVEN WHEN I SEE PEOPLE IN GROCERY STORES OR WALKING AROUND MY COMMUNITY. I FEAR THAT SOMEONE HAS VIEWED THE PORNOGRAPHY SHOWING MY ABUSE. I FEAR I WILL BE RECOGNIZED.

"THROUGH SOME THERAPY, I'M TRYING TO LEARN COPING

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC

SKILLS, BUT IT'S HARD AND IT'S A DAILY STRUGGLE. 1 Ι 2 THINK ABOUT THE CHILD PORNOGRAPHY EVERY DAY. THERE 3 IS NOT ONE DAY THAT GOES BY THAT I DON'T THINK WITH HATRED ABOUT THE SICK AND DISGUSTING PEOPLE WHO VIEW, 4 5 TRADE, SAVE, AND GET OFF ON MY ABUSE WHEN I WAS JUST 6 A LITTLE KID AND COULDN'T DEFEND MYSELF. IT'S 7 SICKENING. 8 "I FEEL THAT EVERY SINGLE CRIMINAL THAT IS FOUND WITH 9 MINE OR SOME OTHER CHILD'S IMAGES SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE HIGHEST AMOUNT TO HELP US REPAIR 10 11 OUR LIVES. EACH PERVERT WHO FINDS PLEASURE IN MY 12 CHILD ABUSE SHOULD SUFFER THE FULLEST CONSEQUENCES OF 13 THE LAW, BE THAT PRISON, STRICT PAROLE CONDITIONS, 14 RESTITUTIONS, COUNSELING, AND THEY SHOULD NEVER BE 15 ALLOWED TO BE ALONE WITH CHILDREN. 16 "MY IMAGES MAY NEVER BE TAKEN OFF OF THE INTERNET AND 17 MAY ALWAYS BE CIRCULATING ACROSS THIS COUNTRY, BUT IN 18 THIS CASE, WITH THIS DEFENDANT, I ASK FOR JUSTICE TO BE SERVED. PLEASE HEAR MY VOICE. I KNOW IT IS 19 20 DIFFICULT FOR ME TO KNOW THERE ARE DEFENDANTS OUT 21 THERE LIKE THE ONE BEFORE YOU AT SENTENCING. PLEASE 22 HOLD HIM ACCOUNTABLE FOR HIS HARM OF ME." 23 AND ONE LAST NOTE FROM JENNY. THIS IS JUST THE JENNY 24 SERIES. 25 "I AM 18 NOW AND SO I AM WRITING THIS TO HAVE MY OWN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN NEWSPAPERS AND IT IS SANITIZED. NO ONE OUITE UNDERSTANDS IF YOU DON'T READ THE DETAILS HOW HORRIFIC THESE IMAGES ARE.

WHEN THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES TALK ABOUT TEN IMAGES, PART OF THE REASON WHY THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES ARE OFF IS BECAUSE PERHAPS THERE WAS A POINT IN TIME IN OUR HISTORY WHERE THINGS WERE PHYSICAL. NOW WITH THE COMPUTERS, PEOPLE GET HUNDREDS OF IMAGES, BUT IN THIS CASE WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT HUNDREDS, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF IMAGES.

AND AS I LISTENED TO MS. MCCALL TALK ABOUT THE NATURE OF WHAT WE WERE DEALING WITH, I THOUGHT YET, AGAIN, HERE WE GO, IT'S SANITIZED.

YOUR FRIENDS AND FAMILY DON'T HAVE QUITE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT YOU AND I KNOW AND THE LAWYERS. FOR A NORMAL PERSON, HAVING TO SEE THOSE VIDEOS IS HAUNTING. AND I STRUGGLE WITH WHETHER I SHOULD EDUCATE THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE, THE PUBLIC.

THE DESCRIPTIONS OF THESE PHOTOS TALK ABOUT ACTS DONE TO FIVE YEARS OLD, TO TODDLERS. LEGS SPREED APART BEING ORALLY COPULATED BY AN ADULT MALE. CLIPS ATTACHED TO THE NIPPLES OF THE CHILD, CHILDREN GRIMACING IN PAIN, CHILDREN WHO ARE CUFFED, HANDS TIED TO BEDPOSTS, TAPE OVER THEIR MOUTH GAGGED WHILE BEING PENETRATED WITH OBJECTS AND WITH ERECT PENISES. CHILDREN FORCED TO DO THINGS WITH ANIMALS. THE LIST GOES ON AND ON IN HORRIFIC DETAIL.

PROBATION WAS NEVER AN ANSWER. I AGREE THAT YOU NEED

HELP. I AGREE THAT YOU NEED SOME KIND OF MENTAL COUNSELING. 1 2 I AGREE THAT THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES ARE OVERSTATED BECAUSE 3 THESE DAYS EVERYONE USES A COMPUTER, FOR INSTANCE. I TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE MADE SOME 4 5 ACTS THAT SUGGEST THAT PERHAPS YOU ARE BEGINNING TO GET IT. BUT WITH SOME DEFENDANTS, THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THEY 6 7 WILL REOFFEND IS PROVEN BY TIME TO BE A REMOTE POSSIBILITY. 8 IN YOUR CASE IT IS NOT. I DO NOT KNOW WHETHER YOU WILL 9 REOFFEND. I HAVE HAD MANY DEFENDANTS STAND HERE AND TELL ME, "I WON'T DO IT AGAIN", BUT HERE YOU DID. 10 AND WHAT PEOPLE MAY OR MAY NOT RECOGNIZE IS THAT YOU 11 12 DIDN'T DO IT ONCE, YOU DID IT MANY TIMES, AND YOU HID IT. AND 13 YOU NOT ONLY PUT IT ON YOUR COMPUTER, BUT YOU PUT IT ON OTHER 14 DEVICES. 15 SO TO SUGGEST THAT SOMEHOW THIS WAS JUST ABOUT YOUR 16 CLIENTS IS A FALLACY. TO SUGGEST THAT ENGAGING IN A MARKET 17 FOR THE POSSESSION OF THIS INFORMATION THAT IT DOES NOT CREATE 18 ONGOING HARM TO THOSE CHILDREN NOW ADULTS IS ALSO A FALLACY. 19 AND WE AS A SOCIETY CANNOT CONDONE IT. WE AS A SOCIETY CANNOT SAY THAT IF YOU ENGAGE IN THIS CONDUCT AND, REMEMBER, 20 21 THE PEOPLE WHO DO THIS ARE FIRST TIME OFFENDERS, OLDER MEN WHO 22 WILL ALWAYS SIT THERE AND SAY, JUDGE, BUT I'M OLDER. YES, YOU 23 ARE, LIKE EVERY OTHER DEFENDANT, OR VIRTUALLY EVERY OTHER 24 DEFENDANT WHO IS COMMITTING THESE CRIMES. 25 WE CANNOT SAY THAT THAT IS ENOUGH TO SUGGEST THAT SOMEHOW

YOU DO NOT SERVE A SENTENCE FOR COMMITTING THESE ACTS DESPITE
THE MENTAL CONSIDERATIONS.

I AM CHARGED WITH TRYING TO DETERMINE WHAT IS SUFFICIENT
BUT NOT GREATER THAN NECESSARY IN TERMS OF A SENTENCE. AND IN
LIGHT OF YOUR FAMILY SUPPORT AND YOUR PRIOR ACTS IN THE
COMMUNITY, DESPITE WHAT I HAVE JUST SAID, MR. BRESLIN, I DO
HAVE HOPE. I HAVE TO TELL YOU, AS A JUDGE, I MUST HAVE HOPE
OR I CAN'T GET THROUGH THE DAY. I HAVE TO HAVE HOPE IN THE
HUMAN CONDITION AND SO I HAVE HOPE FOR YOU.

IN PART, AND THE REASON WHY I SAID SOME OF THESE THINGS IN COURT TODAY IS HOPEFULLY YOUR FAMILY WILL UNDERSTAND THE BRUTALITY OF WHAT IT WAS YOU WERE ENJOYING AND KEEP YOU AWAY FROM IT AND KEEP YOU CLEAN IN WHAT IS A SCAR ON THIS NATION AND A SCAR ON HUMANITY. SO I HOPE THAT THEY WILL HELP YOU.

BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT I WILL SEND A MESSAGE TO OTHER DEFENDANTS THAT YOU WILL GET A SLAP ON THE HAND IF YOU EVER DO THIS. WHILE THE GUIDELINES INDICATE THAT A SENTENCE SHOULD BE IN THE RANGE OF 12 YEARS AND SEVEN MONTHS TO 15 YEARS AND EIGHT MONTHS, I FIND THAT TO BE MORE THAN IS REASONABLY NECESSARY.

BASED UPON MY DISCRETION AND THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT I
HAVE INDICATED, INCLUDING THE OFFENSE CONDUCT BETWEEN THE
FIRST INDICTMENT AND THE SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT, THE
STATEMENTS ON THE TELEPHONE, HIS EARLY REFUSAL AND LACK OF
REMORSE, BUT IN LIGHT OF HIS LIFELONG CONTRIBUTIONS AND GOOD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WORKS AND THE HELP OF HIS FAMILY ONCE HE WAS RELIEVED FROM HIS CUSTODIAL SENTENCE WHICH WE HOPE WILL PROVE AND HELP HIM REFLECT ON WHAT HE HAS DONE;

PURSUANT TO THE SENTENCING REFORM ACT, IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT THAT KENNETH BRESLIN BE COMMITTED TO THE BUREAU OF PRISONS FOR A TERM OF 78 MONTHS. THIS TERM CONSISTS OF 78 MONTHS ON EACH OF COUNTS ONE AND TWO CONCURRENT.

IN ADDITION, I'LL IMPOSE ONE DAY ON COUNT THREE TO RUN CONSECUTIVE TO COUNTS ONE AND TWO FOR A TOTAL OF 78 MONTHS AND ONE DAY.

UPON RELEASE FROM IMPRISONMENT, THE DEFENDANT SHALL BE PLACED ON SUPERVISED RELEASE FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS. THE TERM CONSISTS OF FIVE YEARS ON EACH OF COUNTS ONE AND TWO, AND THREE YEARS ON COUNT THREE, ALL SUCH TERMS TO RUN CONCURRENTLY.

WITHIN 72 HOURS OF RELEASE FROM THE BUREAU OF PRISONS, THE DEFENDANT SHALL BE -- SHALL REPORT IN PERSON TO THE PROBATION OFFICE IN THE DISTRICT IN WHICH HE IS RELEASED. WHILE ON SUPERVISED RELEASE, THE DEFENDANT SHALL NOT COMMIT ANOTHER FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL CRIME; SHALL COMPLY WITH STANDARD CONDITIONS ADOPTED BY THIS COURT, EXCEPT THAT THE MANDATORY DRUG TESTING PROVISION IS SUSPENDED GIVEN THERE IS NO HISTORY OF DRUG ABUSE.

I SHALL IMPOSE A MANDATORY SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF \$10,000 PURSUANT TO TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AT SECTION

3014, PLUS A MANDATORY ASSESSMENT OF \$300.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN ADDITION, THE COURT WILL ACCEPT THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES WITH RESPECT TO RESTITUTION AMOUNT OF \$100,000 PAYABLE \$4,000 PER EACH OF THE VICTIMS SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED IN THAT DOCUMENT INCLUDING THE PAYMENT ADDRESSES.

MR. GOLDROSEN: YOUR HONOR, MAY I CORRECT? THERE WERE 21 VICTIMS AT 4,000. THERE'S ONE AT 1,000. SO IT'S 85,000 TOTAL.

THE COURT: SO ORDERED AND NOTED. THANK YOU.

YOU MUST PROVIDE PROBATION WITH ACCESS TO ANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION, INCLUDING TAX RETURNS, AND MUST AUTHORIZE PROBATION TO CONDUCT CREDIT CHECKS AND OBTAIN COPIES OF TAX RETURNS.

YOU SHALL COOPERATE IN THE COLLECTION OF DNA AS DIRECTED BY PROBATION. FOR THE REST OF YOUR LIFE, MR. BRESLIN, YOU MAY NOT AND SHALL NOT OWN OR POSSESS ANY FIREARMS, AMMUNITION, DESTRUCTIVE DEVICES, OR OTHER DANGEROUS WEAPONS.

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT YOUR PERSON, RESIDENCE, OFFICE, VEHICLE, ELECTRONIC AND THEIR DATA, INCLUDING CELL PHONES, COMPUTERS, AND ELECTRONIC STORAGE MEDIA, OR ANY PROPERTY UNDER YOUR CONTROL TO SEARCH. SUCH A SEARCH MUST BE CONDUCTED BY A UNITED STATES PROBATION OFFICER OR ANY FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AT ANY TIME OF THE DAY OR NIGHT WITH OR WITHOUT CAUSE, WITH OR WITHOUT SUSPICION. FAILURE TO SUBMIT TO THIS KIND OF SEARCH CAN BE GROUNDS FOR REVOCATION.

YOU MUST WARN RESIDENTS WITH WHOM YOU LIVE THAT YOU ARE SUBJECT TO THAT PROVISION.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: YES.

THE COURT: YOU MUST NOT POSSESS OR USE A COMPUTER WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE PROBATION OFFICE. COMPUTER INCLUDES THE ELECTRONIC -- ANY ELECTRONIC DEVICE CAPABLE OF ACCESSING THE INTERNET OR PROCESSING OR STORING DATA AS DESCRIBED IN TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1030(E)(1), INCLUDING CELL PHONES AND SMART TELEVISIONS, AND ALL PERIPHERAL DEVICES.

AS DIRECTED BY PROBATION, YOU MUST ENROLL IN THE PROBATION OFFICE'S COMPUTER AND INTERNET MONITORING PROGRAM, AND YOU MUST ABIDE BY ALL CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THAT PROGRAM AND THE ACCEPTABLE USE CONTRACT.

YOU MUST NOT ACCESS THE INTERNET OR ANY ONLINE COMPUTER SERVICE AT ANY LOCATION, INCLUDING YOUR EMPLOYMENT, WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE PROBATION OFFICE. ONLINE SERVICES INCLUDE ANY INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDER OR ANY PUBLIC OR PRIVATE COMPUTER NETWORK. AS DIRECTED BY PROBATION, YOU MUST WARN YOUR EMPLOYER OF ANY RESTRICTIONS ON YOUR COMPUTER USE.

YOU MUST CONSENT TO THE PROBATION OFFICER CONDUCTING PERIODIC UNANNOUNCED EXAMINATIONS OF ANY COMPUTER EQUIPMENT WHICH MAY INCLUDE RETRIEVAL AND COPYING OF ALL DATA FROM YOUR COMMUTER AND ANY PERIPHERAL DEVICE TO ENSURE WITH THIS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CONDITION AND/OR REMOVAL OF SUCH EQUIPMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONDUCTING A MORE THOROUGH INSPECTION.

YOU MUST CONSENT TO THE INSTALLATION OF ANY HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE AS DIRECTED BY PROBATION TO MONITOR ANY AUTHORIZED USE OF THE INTERNET.

YOU MUST NOT POSSESS ANY DATA ENCRYPTION TECHNIQUE OR PROGRAM THAT IS NOT AUTHORIZED BY PROBATION.

YOU MUST NOT POSSESS IN ANY FORM MATERIALS DEPICTING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, CHILD EROTICA, OR NUDE OR SEXUAL DEPICTIONS OF ANY CHILD, OR ANY MATERIALS DESCRIBED AT TITLE 18 TO THE UNITED STATES CODE AT SECTION 2256 SUBSECTION 8.

YOU MUST NOT ACCESS, VIA THE INTERNET OR OTHERWISE, ANY PORNOGRAPHY, OR OTHER MATERIALS DEPICTING SEXUALLY EXPLICIT CONDUCT AS DEFINED BY TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AT SECTION 2256, SUBSECTION 2 WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF PROBATION.

YOU MUST NOT LOITER OR FREQUENT WITHIN 100 FEET OF ANY LOCATION WHERE CHILDREN ARE LIKELY TO GATHER, OR HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH ANY CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 18 UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE PROBATION OFFICE.

CHILDREN ARE LIKELY TO GATHER IN LOCATIONS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PLAYGROUNDS, THEME PARKS, PUBLIC SWIMMING POOLS, SCHOOLS, ARCADES CHILDREN'S MUSEUMS OR OTHER SPECIFIC LOCATIONS AS DESIGNATED BY PROBATION. THIS PROVISION DOES NOT ENCOMPASS PERSONS UNDER THE AGE OF 18 SUCH AS WAITERS,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CASHIERS, TICKET VENDORS WITH WHOM YOU MUST DEAL IN ORDER TO OBTAIN ORDINARY AND USUAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES.

ANY EMPLOYMENT SHALL BE APPROVED BY PROBATION, AND ANY CHANGE IN YOUR EMPLOYMENT MUST BE PRE-APPROVED BY PROBATION. YOU MUST SUBMIT THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF ANY PROPOSED EMPLOYER TO PROBATION AT LEAST TEN DAYS PRIOR TO YOUR SCHEDULED CHANGE.

YOUR RESIDENCE SHALL BE APPROVED BY PROBATION AND ANY CHANGE IN THE RESIDENCE MUST BE PRE-APPROVED BY PROBATION. YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ADDRESS OF ANY PROPOSED RESIDENCE TO THE PROBATION OFFICER AT LEAST TEN DAYS PRIOR TO ANY SCHEDULED CHANGE.

YOU MUST REGISTER WITH THE STATE SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION AGENCY AS REQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW. AND IF SO REQUIRED, MUST PROVIDE PROOF OF REGISTRATION TO PROBATION OFFICE WITHIN THREE DAYS OF RELEASE FROM IMPRISONMENT ON SUPERVISION.

YOU MUST PARTICIPATE IN THE SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM AS DIRECTED BY PROBATION. YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PAY ALL OR PART OF THE COST OF THAT TREATMENT, BUT THE COST SHALL NOT EXCEED THE -- IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THE ACTUAL COST OF THE TREATMENT. ANY CO-PAYMENT SHALL BE DETERMINED BY PROBATION. THE PROBATION OFFICER MAY RELEASE ALL PREVIOUS MENTAL HEALTH EXAMINATIONS AND EVALUATIONS TO THE TREATMENT PROVIDER.

AS PART OF THE PROGRAM TREATMENT, YOU MUST SUBMIT TO A POLYGRAPH TESTING AS RECOMMENDED BY THE TREATMENT PROVIDER.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HOWEVER, YOU RETAIN YOUR FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO REFUSE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS ASKED DURING THE COURSE OF TREATMENT ABSENT A GRANT OF USE OR DERIVATIVE-USE IMMUNITY.

AS PART OF THE TREATMENT PROGRAM, YOU MUST SUBMIT TO PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTING AS RECOMMENDED BY THE TREATMENT PROVIDER.

THE FOLLOWING ARE HEREBY FORFEITED TO THE UNITED STATES: SANDISK THUMB DRIVE 16 GIGABYTES MODEL SDCZ30, MEMOREX TRAVEL DRIVE USB THUMB DRIVE, 512 MEGABYTES GRAY IN COLOR, HEWLETT-PACKARD LAPTOP COMPUTER, SERIAL NUMBER CND6354J2T, AND A SANDISK ULTRA USB 3, 128 GIGABYTE THUMB DRIVE, SERIAL NUMBER 4C530001230919119322.

THE COURT HEREBY RECOMMENDS TO THE BUREAU OF PRISONS THAT MR. BRESLIN BE PLACED IN A SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM AND THAT HE BE PLACED AT A FACILITY AS CLOSE TO THE BAY AREA AS POSSIBLE.

TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THE COURT'S REQUEST WITHIN 30 DAYS OF PLACEMENT, THE BUREAU OF PRISONS SHALL ADVISE THE COURT WHY THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO HONOR MY REQUEST THAT HE BE PLACED CLOSE TO HIS FAMILY.

IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE?

MR. GOLDROSEN: NO, YOUR HONOR.

MS. MCCALL: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MR. BRESLIN, DESPITE MY TOUGH WORDS, I

HOPE YOU UNDERSTAND THAT I DO WISH YOU WELL ULTIMATELY.

1	THE DEFENDANT: THANK YOU.
2	THE COURT: WE ARE ADJOURNED.
3	
4	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:52 P.M.)
5	
6	
7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
8	I, DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTER FOR THE
9	UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY
10	CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE
11	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
12	A
13	Disse E. Skillman
14	DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR
15	THURSDAY, JULY 26, 2018
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	